Case 3:07-cv-01140-MHP Document 65 Filed 04/08/2008 Page 1 of 2

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment, and Cross-Motion for Continuance Pursuant to FRCP 56(f) previously filed on April 7, 2008 in the above-referenced matter contains the following sentence on page 24, lines 9 and 10:

> On the day this Opposition was filed, defendant agreed to produce Mr. Mangone for further questioning and allow him to testify regarding his setting of the reserves.

This sentence was part of an earlier draft of Plaintiff's Memorandum which had been included on the expectation that defendant would agree to produce Mr. Mangone as stated. In fact, defendant has not so agreed, and this sentence was inadvertently included in the referenced document filed with the Court.

This Errata is submitted to correct this clerical error. The foregoing sentence should be replaced in its entirety with the following:

> Defendant has not responded to plaintiff's request to produce Jeffrey Mangone for further deposition and allow him to answer questions regarding his setting of the reserves for plaintiff's claim. (Porter Decl. at ¶ 6.)

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently thereto.

Dated: April 8, 2008 LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN M. MURPHY

> By: /s/ Stephen M. Murphy STEPHEN M. MURPHY Attorney for Plaintiff

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25 26

27

28